

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-21346-2015

Date of Decision: 24.07.2018

Smriti
24.07.2018 P53
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

Naranjan Singh

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab & Another

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Suman Kumari, Advocate for
Mr. Onkar Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. G.S.Sandhu, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral):

Learned State counsel, on instructions from HC Surjit Singh, submits that out of total 16 prosecution witnesses, 13 have already been examined; 2 have been given up and only 01 police official witness is yet to be examined.

Leaned counsel for respondent No.2 submits that subsequent thereto when the charges were framed, the petitioner has not filed any revision petition challenging the order of framing of charge and during pendency of the present petition, almost entire prosecution evidence has been concluded.

In view of the same, the present petition, challenging the order dated 25.05.2015 (Annexure P11), vide which, the application filed by the petitioner/accused for discharge was dismissed, is rendered as infructuous. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to raise all the pleas/defences during course of trial, in accordance with law.

Disposed of.

24.07.2018
smriti

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No.

Whether Reportable : Yes/No