

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M No.22252 of 2018

Date of Decision: 08.10.2018

Balkar Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Tanmoy Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. C.L. Pawar, Sr. D.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. B.S. Bali, Advocate
for the complainant.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Resham Singh, submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and recovery has also been effected from him.

Grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner has been opposed by learned counsel for the complainant on the ground that the allegations are there under Section 3 of the Scheduled Castes/Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989, which was added later on.

The allegations are matter of evidence which shall be tested by the trial Court.

Since the petitioner has joined the investigation and recovery has also been effected from him, the interim order passed on 24.05.2018 is made absolute subject to the conditions mentioned therein.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

08.10.2018

gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No