

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-2114-2017(O&M)
Date of Decision: 27.03.2018

Rakesh Aggarwal

--Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

--Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. J.S. Dadwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashish Yadav, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Deepak Girotra, Advocate for the complainant.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J (Oral)

Petitioner seeks concession of anticipatory bail in case F.I.R. No.973 dated 20.10.2016 under sections 420, 406 I.P.C, registered at Police Station, Jhajjar, District Jhajjar.

Briefly noticed, FIR has been registered on the complaint of Sahil Deswal as regards having been duped of a sum of Rs.14 lacs on the pretext of being given a business visa to Thailand as also working together in a business venture.

There are also allegations as regards a fake and forged business visa having been furnished to the complainant.

Counsel for the parties have been heard.

The case of the complainant himself is that he had established contact with the accused party through an advertisement placed on internet and through a mobile phone number which belongs to co-accused Munish Aggarwal (non-applicant). There is no specific entrustment of funds in favour of the present petitioner. Even the alleged fake business

visa for Thailand is stated to have been furnished by co-accused Munish Aggarwal.

Learned State counsel upon instructions from ASI Rajesh Kumar apprises the Court that the present petitioner has joined investigation.

In the considered view of this Court, custodial interrogation of the petitioner, who happens to be uncle of main accused Munish Aggarwal, as such, would not be warranted. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed. The order dated 14.2.2017, passed by this Court, is made absolute.

Petition disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

27.03.2018
lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No