

**113 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH
CRM-M No.21139 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : September 20, 2018**

Surinder Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Mukul Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard.

Petitioner seeks quashing of FIR No.78, dated 05.04.2013, under Sections 447, 511, 379, 148 and 149 IPC, registered at Police Station Kotwali, District Patiala and challan report dated 23.04.2013 under Sections 173 Cr.P.C. under Sections 447, 427, 511, 379, 201, 148 and 149 IPC.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in the civil litigation, the High Court has granted status quo. The petitioner is in possession of land. The case has been registered for trespassing regarding the land which is in his possession.

It comes out that the inquiry was conducted and after the inquiry, the case was registered.

From its face, this Court is unable to record any finding regarding the merits of the case. The police after investigation, presented the challan in the Court. Therefore, at this stage, there is no ground to quash the FIR and challan in question.

As such, the present petition is dismissed.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

September 20, 2018

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No