

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-21111-2017
Date of decision: 28.03.2018

Arvind Mishra

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Sumit Jain, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. P.P. Chahar, learned DAG, Haryana.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 649 dated 02.11.2016, under Sections 363, 366-A, 376, 506 and 34 of the IPC and Sections 4 and 6 of the POCSO Act, registered at Police Station City Bahadurgarh, District Jhajjar.

It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that no such occurrence took place to constitute an offence punishable under Sections 363, 366-A, 376, 506 and 34 of the IPC and Sections 4 and 6 of the POCSO Act.

Counsel for the respondent-State submits that in fact the statement of the prosecutrix has been recorded in which she has supported the case of the prosecution as well as the forensic report obtained would reveal that the DNA profile matches with that of the petitioner herein.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and in view thereof, this Court is not inclined to interfere in the matter considering the fact that

out of total 19 witnesses, 10 material witnesses have been examined and only 09 official witnesses are left.

Hence, without going into the merits of the case, the petition is hereby dismissed.

28.03.2018

Waseem Ansari

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No