

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.***

CRM-M No.22046 of 2018

Date of Decision: 29.10.2018

Jaswinder Singh @ Jassa @ Jasbir SinghPetitioner

Versus

State of PunjabRespondent

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Ranjodh Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Mehta, Sr. D.A.G., Punjab.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.54 dated 17.03.2015 registered under Sections 22/61/85 of the NDPS Act at Police Station Sadar Tarn Taran, District Tarn Taran.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the case and that too on the basis of suspicion. The search was conducted by the police party and there was delay of seven days in sending the report. The petitioner is in custody since 12.10.2017. All witnesses are the officials witnesses and there is no possibility that the petitioner may influence the witnesses or tamper with the evidence.

Learned State counsel submits that two more cases under the NDPS Act are there against the petitioner, whereas, learned counsel for the

petitioner submits that in one case under the NDPS Act, the petitioner is on bail and in second case, the recovery is non-commercial. Learned State counsel submits that the recovery in the present case is also non-commercial.

Heard arguments of learned counsel for the parties and on perusal of contents of the FIR, no ground is made out to grant regular bail to the petitioner as he is involved in two more cases of NDPS. He is still in custody in another case of NDPS. The recovery is commercial and the case is fixed for arguments.

The petition is accordingly dismissed.

However, the trial Court is directed to make all efforts to expedite the trial preferably within a period of three months.

29.10.2018
gurpreet

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No