

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-21662-2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 05.12.2018**

Shakeel

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Akshat Mittal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, AAG, Haryana.

None for respondent No.2.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No. 155 dated 07.06.2016 under Sections 9, 10 & 11 of Prohibition of Child Marriage Act, 2006, registered at Police Station Kunjpura, District Karnal (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of the compromise entered into between the parties.

The aforesaid FIR has been registered on the statement of complainant/respondent No.2. However, now with the intervention of respectable persons, the dispute has been amicably settled between

the parties.

Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In pursuance of the direction, a report has been received from the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Karnal, stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from any one and the same appears to be genuine one.

Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, learned AAG, Haryana on instructions from the Investigating Officer admits to the factum of compromise and submit that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, they would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR, in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the rival parties and have gone through the record.

In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, a compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid down by the

Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Narinder Singh & others vs. State of Punjab & another, (2014) 6 SCC 466*, this petition is allowed, FIR No. 155 dated 07.06.2016 under Sections 9, 10 & 11 of Prohibition of Child Marriage Act, 2006, registered at Police Station Kunjpura, District Karnal (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same qua the petitioner is quashed.

The petition stands disposed of.

05.12.2018

Satyawan

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes.

Whether reportable

No.