

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. M-21610 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: July 24, 2018

Virender Kumar and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Sushil Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. P.P. Chahar, DAG Haryana.

Mr. Sanjeev Patiyal, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No.37 dated 29.01.2016 registered under Section 365 of Indian Penal Code at Police Station Pinjore, District Panchkula (Annexure P/1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise (Annexure P/4).

The FIR has been registered on the statement of complainant-Manisha Charan on the allegations that due to some matrimonial dispute, she was residing with her parents. It was alleged that on 29.01.2016, when she was present at her home, her husband came along with some other persons and forced her to go along with him. When she refused, he and his companions gave beatings to her and her mother. Now with the intervention

of respectable persons, the matter has been amicably compromised between the parties and they have resolved their disputes and differences.

Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before the trial court/Illaq Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In pursuance of the direction, a report has been received from Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Kalka, stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from any one and the same is genuine one.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from the Investigating Officer and learned counsel for complainant-respondent No.2 admit the factum of compromise and submit that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, the State would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR, in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record.

In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, a compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble

Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466**, this petition is allowed and FIR No.37 dated 29.01.2016 registered under Section 365 of Indian Penal Code at Police Station Pinjore, District Panchkula and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed qua the petitioner(s) herein.

The petition stands disposed of.

July 24, 2018

vijay saini

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
Yes/No