

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

247

**CRM-M-2068-2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 12.10.2018**

Vijay Somani

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B.BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Sandeep Verma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashish Sanghi, AAG, Haryana.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J.(ORAL)

In the present petition, petitioner has sought for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 5 to provide police protection to the petitioner and his family with immediate effect.

2. Deputy Superintendent of Police, Rewari, District Rewari on behalf of respondents has filed status report by means of affidavit on 06.08.2018 wherein in paras 4 to 8 it is explained under what circumstances, petitioner is not required protection. Thus, present petition do not survive for consideration.

3. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner on instructions submitted that necessary payment would be made for the purpose of extending security by the State. In this regard, petitioner is permitted to make necessary representation to the competent authority. Competent authority is hereby directed to act on the petitioner's representation and determine the security cost for each month and also apprise the petitioner to deposit such money for the purpose of giving security to the petitioner. If determined amount is deposited in advance in that event security be provided to the petitioner.

4. With the above observation petition stands disposed of.

12.10.2018

pooja saini

**(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned?

Yes/No

Whether reportable?

Yes/No