

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

211

**CRM-M No. 21564 of 2018
Date of Decision : 04.09.2018**

Ram Pal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B.BAJANTHRI

Present : Mr. Ish Puneet Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab.

P.B.BAJANTHRI, J. (ORAL)**CM-30899-2018**

Heard.

Application is allowed as prayed for. Offence under Section 465/467/468 IPC be treated as part of FIR No. 146 dated 04.10.2016 in the main petition.

Main case

In the present petition, petitioner seek anticipatory bail under the provision of Section 438 Cr.P.C. in FIR No.146 dated 04.10.2016 under Sections 420, 120-B IPC (465, 467, 468 IPC added later on) registered at Police Station Balachaur, District Shaheed Bhagat Singh Nagar.

2. On instructions of HC Surinder Pal Singh, learned state counsel submitted that during investigation additional offence have been included in the FIR namely Sections 465, 466, 468 IPC. In this regard, learned counsel for the petitioner has filed CRM. Observation made in the order dated

01.06.2018 is remain unrebutted.

3 This Court vide order dated 01.06.2018 had directed the petitioner to join investigation and in the event of his arrest, he was ordered to be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions from HC Surinder Pal Singh states that in pursuance to the order dated 01.06.2018 the petitioner has joined investigation. That apart observations made by this court in the order dated 01.06.2018 have gone unrebutted.

5. In view of these factual aspect and circumstances, without expressing any opinion on the merits of case, the order dated 01.06.2018, granting ad-interim bail to the petitioner, is hereby made absolute. However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

6. However, the petitioner shall not in any way indulge in any criminal activities and he shall also not influence the witnesses or other wise interfere with the fair trial. This order would not come in the way of his custodial interrogation in any other matter.

7. Accordingly, the petition stands allowed.

04.09.2018

pooja saini

(P.B.BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned?

Yes/No

Whether reportable?

Yes/No