

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
CHANDIGARH**

Sunil
20.06.2018 17:09
Attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CRM-M-21528-2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 01.06.2018

Krishan and another

..Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

..Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Baljeet Beniwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, AAG, Haryana.

Complainant in person.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.

The petitioners are seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 66 dated 05.04.2018, registered under Sections 120-B, 363, 366-A IPC at P.S. Bilaspur, Distt. Yamuna Nagar.

Counsel for the petitioners contends that petitioner no.1 is the cousin of Vicky and petitioner no.2 is the wife of petitioner no.1. Counsel says that they have no concern what-so-ever with the girl who is missing.

The State counsel urges that the girl was missing since 03.04.2018 and they have collected the call records and the presence of Vicky and the girl was found to be in the village of the petitioners and the petitioners had given shelter to them and the girl has not been recovered yet and custodial interrogation would be necessary for recovery of the girl.

The call records have been collected, which show that the petitioners had spoken to Vicky and their location was that of the village of the petitioners. The girl has not been recovered. The allegations are serious. No case for anticipatory bail is made out.

The petition is dismissed.

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

01.06.2018
Sunil

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No