

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. No. M-21497 of 2018
Date of decision: 03.08.2018**

Rajinder Raju

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. S.S. Behl, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. C.L. Pawar, Sr. DAG, Punjab
for the respondent -State.

Mr. K.L. Saini, Advocate
for the complainant.

Daya Chaudhary, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is not beneficiary and his co-accused, namely, Gurpreet Singh is beneficiary, who has availed the facility of loan. At the most, it can be said that the petitioner was not vigilant. There was a dispute between husband and wife and the complaint was made. Said co-accused-Gurpreet Singh has been given benefit of anticipatory bail. Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that in pursuance of order passed by this Court on 01.06.2018, the petitioner has joined investigation and the same has been affirmed by learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Gurmeet Singh.

In view of submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner and learned State counsel, interim order dated 01.06.2018 passed

by this Court in favour of the petitioner is made absolute.

Petition is disposed of accordingly.

03.08.2018
neetu

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No