

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-20431 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 15.05.2018

Navdeep Singh and others

... Petitioners

Vs.

U.T. Chandigarh and another

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present : Mr. Kuldeep Singh Saini, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Anil K. Lamdharia, Advocate for respondent No.1.

Ms. Anju Arora, Advocate for respondent No.2.

P.B. BAJANTHRI J. (Oral)

Petitioners have sought for quashing of FIR No.18 dated 06.02.2015 registered under Sections 323/341/34 IPC and Section 25/54/59 of Arms Act at Police Station Sector-3 Chandigarh on the basis of compromise.

2. Parties have entered into a compromise. This Court by order dated 27.2.2018 directed the parties to appear before the trial Court for recording their statements with regard to veracity of compromise. It has been recorded by the trial Court on 14.03.2018 and Judicial Magistrate, Chandigarh sent his report vide letter dated 22.3.2018.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.1-U.T. Chandigarh has submitted that no offence is committed by the petitioners in respect of Arms Act. Therefore, there is no infirmity in the compromise.

4. In view of the law laid down in Narinder Singh v. State of Punjab; 2014 (6) SCC 466, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the criminal proceedings. Therefore, the present petition is allowed and FIR

No.18 dated 06.02.2015 registered under Sections 323/341/34 IPC and

Section 25/54/59 of Arms Act at Police Station Sector-3 Chandigarh
alongwith all consequential proceeding arising out of this FIR are hereby
quashed.

4. Petitioners are liable to pay litigation cost of Rs.5000/- to the
Home Department of U.T. Chandigarh within a period of 2 months from
today.

15.05.2018
rajeev

(P.B. Bajanthri)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned **Yes/No**

Whether reportable **Yes/No**