

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.20471 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 13.11.2018

Kulwinder Kaur

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present:- Mr. Amit Dhawan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramandeep Sandhu, Sr. Deputy Advocate General, Punjab
for respondent No.1/State.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J. (Oral)

Present petition has been filed for quashing of the impugned order dated 27.02.2017, passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Jalandhar, whereby the petitioner has been declared as a proclaimed offender in FIR No.22 dated 31.01.2013, under Sections 306, 34 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Basti Bawa Khel, District Jalandhar.

This Court, on 15.01.2018, passed the following order:-

“ While issuing notice on 01.06.2017, returnable on 04.08.2017, this Court had directed that upon the petitioner appearing before the trial Court, she would be admitted to interim bail to its satisfaction till the next date of hearing before this Court.

The matter was thereafter adjourned to enable learned counsel for the petitioner to place on record the order of the trial Court showing the petitioner to have surrendered there and having been admitted to bail.

Pursuant to that order, learned counsel has produced in Court today a certified copy of an order of the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Jalandhar, dated 10.07.2017, admitting her to bail, upon her furnishing personal bonds in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with one surety in the like amount.

However, since in the said order dated 10.07.2017 it is specifically stated by that Court that requisite bonds have not been furnished, learned counsel for the petitioner would place on record any order of the trial Court subsequently showing that the bonds have been furnished.

Adjourned to 14.03.2018.

Interim order to continue till the next date of hearing only.

A photo copy of this order be placed on the file of the other connected cases. ”

Today, learned Counsel for the petitioner has produced copy of the order dated 10.07.2017, passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Jalandhar, which shows that in terms of order dated 01.06.2017, passed by this Court, the petitioner stands admitted to interim bail, but at the same time, the said order reveals that requisite bonds have not been furnished by her. Since the petitioner has already been admitted to interim bail, therefore, she is directed to furnish the requisite bonds, if not already furnished before learned Additional Sessions Judge, Jalandhar. Subject to the aforementioned conditions, interim order dated 01.06.2017 of this Court is made absolute and the present petition stands disposed off.

However, in case there is non-compliance of the order dated 01.06.2017, then this petition be treated as dismissed.

November 13, 2018

Gagan

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No