

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

Crl. Misc. No. M-21392 of 2018

Date of Decision: 12.7.2018

Amit Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Ajit Sihag, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, AAG, Haryana
assisted by ASI Brij Pal.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No. 60 dated 28.9.2017 registered at Police Station Women Police Station, Panipat under Sections 376, 328, 506 IPC and Section 3 of Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act.

Counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is in custody since October 2017 and the complainant and the prosecutrix have been examined. He also states that there were talks of marriage and a panchayat was also convened but the father of the prosecutrix had put two conditions that they would get a house constructed and also pay Rs. 5.00 lacs and they had got a separate house constructed. Counsel further submits that it was a case of consent and the girl was major. Copy of the panchayat proceedings have been placed on record.

The statement of the complainant and the victim have already been recorded.

Without commenting anything on the merits of the case and considering the fact that the trial will take time to conclude, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

July 12, 2018
Gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No