

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. M-21127 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: May 31, 2018

Renu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Petitioner in person.

Mr. P.P. Chahar, DAG Haryana.

Mr. Saurabh Garg, Advocate and
Mr. S.S. Gill, Advocate
for respondents No.5, 6, 9 and 10.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

1. This is a petition that has been filed by the petitioner, who is an practicing Advocate at Kaithal, with the following prayers;-

- (i) seeking direction to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner, who is an practicing Advocate in Chamber No.210 in District Court Kaithal from respondents No.5 to 11,
- (ii) with the further direction to respondents No.5 to 11 not to interfere in her peaceful life, and
- (iii) with the further direction to the official respondents to

take legal action on the complaint that has been filed.

2. Notice of motion had been issued in the said matter and appearance has been caused on behalf of the official respondents as well as respondents No.5, 6, 9 and 10 respectively.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent-State submits that the matter has already been looked at by the disciplinary committee, District Bar Association, Kaithal wherein, it has been found that the complaint regarding allegations, as set out in the instant criminal miscellaneous petition, have been found to be false. In fact, Chamber No.210 is owned and possessed by Sh. Satyavir Singh, Advocate (respondent No.10 herein) and Sh. Suresh Dhull, Advocate wanted to encroach upon the same, without any lawful authority. It is also submitted that State is obligated to provide protection to its citizens, if they are in need of the same. An attested copy of the enquiry report is taken on the record.

4. Learned counsel(s) appearing on behalf of the private respondents, however, submit that the private respondents have been falsely implicated and made party in the present criminal miscellaneous petition. In fact, the petitioner herein is not the owner of the chamber in question and the said chamber now stands allotted to respondent No.10.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have also perused the enquiry report that has been submitted.

6. No doubt, the petitioner herein has already approached SP, Kaithal to take appropriate action against Balraj Nouch, Satyapal Kala, Surender Chahar, Satyavir s/o Tek Chand, Charan Singh Kala s/o Ram Kumar and 10 other persons and the matter is yet to be considered by the

official respondents.

7. In view of the above, the instant petition is being disposed of by giving directions to the SP, Kaithal to look into the application/representation (Annexure P-5) that has been filed by the petitioner seeking protection of her life and liberty. In case, there is threat perception to the petitioner, who is a lady Advocate practicing at District Courts Kaithal, necessary protection be provided to her. As far as her contention raised that her record and files have been retained by the private respondents, the same be recovered and an effort be made to return the same to her. Let the needful be done preferably within a period of 10 days from the date of receipt of certificate copy of this order. The SP, Kaithal is at liberty to associate the parties in this venture.

8. The petition stands disposed of with the aforesaid directions.

May 31, 2018
vijay saini

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No