

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**IOIN-CR-4377-2003 &
Civil Revision No.4377 of 2003 (O&M)
Date of Order: 14.08.2018**

Gurdeep Kaur

..Petitioner

Versus

Narinjan Singh and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Veneet Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kanwal Goyal, Advocate,
for respondent no.2.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

Counsel for the petitioner after arguing for some time, prays for permission to withdraw the revision petition.

In view of the prayer made by counsel for the petitioner, the present revision petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that his client would like to file a fresh petition on different ground of eviction and the orders passed by the courts below should not influence subsequent proceedings.

In the considered opinion of this court such apprehension (as expressed by learned counsel for the petitioner) is totally misplaced as each petition has to be decided on merits and if the ground on which eviction has sought in the new petition is fresh one, the earlier judgment passed would have no bearing.

August 14, 2018

nt

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)

JUDGE

: Yes/No

: Yes/No