

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No.M-21082 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 22.02.2018

Bhupinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. P.K.S. Phoolka, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Baljit Singh Virk, DAG, Haryana.
Mr. Puneet Pali, Advocate, for
Mr. Amit Goyal, Advocate, for respondents no.2 and 3.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he not being able to contact the petitioner, therefore can state nothing with regard to the acquittal of respondents no.2 and 3.

However, learned counsel for respondents no.2 and 3 reiterates what learned State counsel had stated on the last date of hearing, which he also reiterates today, to the effect that respondents no.2 and 3 have been acquitted of the charges framed against them.

Consequently, this petition has been rendered infructuous and is disposed of as such.

22.02.2018

vcgarg

(Amol Rattan Singh)

Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether reportable: No