

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-21074-2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 29.05.2018

Kamlesh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Navmohit Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, A.A.G., Haryana
assisted by HC Virender Singh.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.161 dated 24.10.2017, registered under Sections 304-B and 34 of IPC at PS Sadar Kaithal, District Kaithal.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is the mother-in-law and all the material witnesses have been examined and it was the second marriage for the deceased as well as the son of the petitioner and no role had been attributed specifically to the petitioner. The counsel refers to the statement made by Darshan Lal, maternal Uncle as well as Maya Devi.

Statements of two witnesses have been placed on record do not refer to the specific role of the petitioner. The main allegations are against Sanjeev and the father-in-law. It was the second marriage for the couple.

The trial would take time. Without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on her furnishing surety and adequate bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

May 29, 2018

ps-I

**(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No