

213

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 21069 of 2018
Date of Decision : 30.07.2018**

Surjit Singh**...Petitioner**

Versus

State of Punjab**...Respondent****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B.BAJANTHRI**

Present : Mr. B.D.Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Nikhil K. Chopra, Additional A.G. Punjab.

Ms. Rupinder Kaur Thind, Advocate
for the complainant.

P.B.BAJANTHRI, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 21 dated 16.03.2018 under Section 323/324/307/148/149 of the IPC (Section 307 IPC added later on) registered at Police Station, Bhindi Saidan, District Amritsar (Rural).

2. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Charan Singh, states that co-accused Buta Singh was arrested and on his disclosure, recovery was effected. It is also stated that co-accused of the petitioner are already on bail.

3. In view of the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is not required for the custodial interrogation as stated by the learned State counsel though the petitioner may be required for the follow up investigation/interrogation. Hence, the petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer and to join the investigation.

4. In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail on his furnishing bail bond to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so and they will bound by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

5. Petition stands allowed.

30th July, 2018	(P.B.BAJANTHRI)
<i>shabha</i>	JUDGE
<i>Whether speaking/reasoned?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>