

202 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Hemlata
2018.07.03 10:47
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

CRM-M-21066-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision: 01.06.2018

Surender

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. S.S.Dinarpur, Advocate with
Mr. Subhash Godara, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. R.K.Doon, AAG, Haryana.

P. B. BAJANTHRI, J. (ORAL)

In the instant petition, the petitioner has sought for anticipatory bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C. arising out of FIR No. 39 dated 23.02.2018, registered under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of IPC and Section 36 of the Aadhar Targeted Delivery of Financial and other Subsidies, Benefits and Services) Act, 2016 and Sections 65, 66, 67, 66-C and 66-D of the IT Act, 2000, at Police Station Uklana, District Hisar (Annexure P-1).

From perusal of the FIR, it is evident that artificial rubber finger print of the two officials including the petitioner has been misused. There is connivance with one Sonu having regard to the serious charge to the extent of tampering or Aadhar process. Custodial interrogation of the petitioner is necessary to find out his role.

In view of the above, the petitioner has not made out a case seeking anticipatory bail. Accordingly, the present petition for anticipatory bail stands rejected.

(P. B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

01.06.2018

hemlata

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No