

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-21039-2018

Date of decision : 31.05.2018

Ankush Duggal and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

***CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH***

Present: Mr.Gursimran Singh Madaan, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr.J.S.Walia, Sr.DAG, Punjab.

Mr.H.S.Bhatia, Advocate  
for the complainant.

**AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)**

The petitioners not having joined investigation as already noticed in the order dated May 25, 2018, with it made very clear in the earlier order of this Court that in case the investigating officer did not join them in investigation, they would be at liberty to approach the Illaqa Magistrate who would then summon the investigating officer and would ensure that the petitioners join investigation and thereafter, after the interim order had been vacated by this Court on May 25, 2018, the petitioners have gone and joined investigation yesterday, having presented the earlier order of this Court, dated May 25, 2018, to the investigating officer, without disclosing that the interim order stood vacated, they do not deserve the concession of anticipatory bail at all.

Consequently, without making any comment on the actual culpability of the petitioners (or otherwise), in the commission of the offences alleged to have been committed, this petition is dismissed.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)  
JUDGE

May 31, 2018.

D.K.

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes

Whether reportable

Yes