

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

SUNIL
2018.09.25 17:53
Attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CRM-M-20104-2017

Date of decision : 25.09.2018

PUNEET KUMAR

...Petitioner(s)

versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Vishwajit Bedi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Jaspreet Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. G.S. Sidhu, Advocate
for the complainant.

--

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J(ORAL)

Report of the Mediator has been received that despite the best efforts, the parties could not settle their dispute.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that pursuant to order dated 01.06.2017, the petitioner had joined the investigation and had cooperated.

Looking to all these circumstances, but without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and order dated 01.06.2017 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438 Sub Section 2 Clauses (i)(ii) and (iii) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, however, with a rider that the petitioner would deposit a sum of Rs.40,000/- before the Court below, which will be given to the complainant.

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

September 25, 2018

Sunil

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No