

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-20934-2018

Date of decision: 20.09.2018

Zainab @ Anjana Devi and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

PRESENT: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Arjun Singh Yadav, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Robin Lohan, Advocate for respondents No. 4 to 6.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (ORAL)

Vakalatnama filed on behalf of respondents No. 4 to 6 is taken on record. Office to tag the same at the appropriate place.

Despite the case having been called twice, none has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioners. Perusal of the file shows that on the previous date also, none has put in appearance on their behalf.

Learned State counsel assisted by learned counsel for respondents No. 4 to 6, submit that the instant petition is not maintainable or has rendered infructuous, in view of statements of respondents No. 4 to 7-parents of the petitioners, that they have no objection to the alleged marriage of petitioners.

In view of the above, the instant petition is dismissed being not maintainable and for want of prosecution as well.

September 20, 2018

rishu

**(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No