

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 20877 of 2018
Date of decision: 16.05.2018.**

Kulbir Singh Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and others Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Rajesh Kumar Girdhar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking a direction to the official respondents not to harass him.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner was appointed as a Salesman in the Co-operative Society in the year 1998. He has completed 20 years of service. Ten years ago, an application was filed by one Gurdial Singh alleging therein that the petitioner did not have the minimum qualification of 10+2 which is required for the post of Salesman. The matter was inquired into and was found false, as the petitioner had obtained 39% marks in 10+2. Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that 33% are the minimum passing marks for 10+2 from Punjab School Education Board. The private respondent has now made a similar complaint with the police. He also contends that he sent a representation dated 19.04.2018 (Annexure P3) in this regard to the Superintendent of Police, Vigilance Bureau Unit, Fazilka (respondent No.3).

The petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 - Superintendent of Police, Vigilance Bureau Unit, Fazilka to look into the representation dated 19.04.2018 (Annexure P3) and take action, if necessary, in accordance with law.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

16.05.2018
Ramesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No