

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-19830 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision:29.01.2018

Jasmeet Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

AND

CRM-M-22511 of 2017 (O&M)

Manjit Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

AND

CRM-M-22525 of 2017 (O&M)

Gurmeet Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

AND

CRM-M-22533 of 2017 (O&M)

Taranjit Kaur

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Mr.Jagmohan Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioner(in CRM-M-19830 and 22511 of 2017).

Mr. Deepak Gupta, Advocate

for the petitioner (in CRM-M-22525 and 22533 of 2017)

Ms. Seena Mand, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Mandip, Advocate
for respondent no.2.

LISA GILL, J(Oral).

This order shall dispose of CRM-M-19830 of 2017, CRM-M-22511 of 2017, CRM-M-22525 of 2017 and CRM-M-22533 of 2017. For the sake of convenience, facts are extracted from CRM-M-19830 of 2017.

All the petitioners seek the concession of anticipatory bail in FIR No.0082 dated 08.04.2017, under Sections 323, 324, 354, 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Rama Mandi.

Petitioner-Jasmeet Singh, is the brother-in-law (Devar), Manjit Singh, the father-in-law, Taranjit Kaur, the mother-in-law and Gurmeet Singh is the husband of the complainant.

It is submitted that the FIR in question was registered due to matrimonial discord between the complainant and her husband-Gurmeet Singh. It is further submitted that the police on investigation has found the allegations raised by the complainant to be incorrect. Learned counsel for the petitioners informed that all the petitioners have joined investigation. After investigation, cancellation of the FIR in question has been recommended by the investigating agency. It is thus prayed that these petitions be allowed.

As per status report dated 02.12.2017, filed by way of affidavit of Satinder Kumar (PPS), Assistant Commissioner of Police, Central, Jalandhar, it is stated that cancellation report in this case was prepared, approved by ADCP, City-I, Jalandhar as well as DCP, Investigation, Jalandhar. Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar, on 06.09.2017, directed the

ADCP, City-I, Jalandhar, to conduct a spot review, examine the investigation done so far and again submit his opinion regarding the correctness of supervision note. Needful was done by ADCP, City-I, Jalandhar, and as per report dated 19.09.2017, it was recommended that cancellation report be submitted. DCP Investigation, Jalandhar, recommended that opinion of the doctor to be sought regarding injury mentioned in the FIR. Report of a Special Medical Board constituted for this purpose was obtained. It is submitted that the Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar has approved the cancellation report on 29.11.2017.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Paramjit Singh, verifies the factum of cancellation report being prepared in this case. It is submitted that the same shall be presented within the next 20 days. None of the petitioners are reported to be involved in any other criminal case.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances as above, but without commenting upon or expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, all the four petitions are allowed. Consequently, orders dated 23.06.2017 in CRM-M-22511 of 2017, CRM-M-22525 of 2017 and CRM-M-22533 of 2017, are made absolute.

In the event of arrest of the petitioner-Jasmeet Singh, he be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting/investigating Officer. He shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so and he shall comply with the conditions stipulated in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Needless to say, the complainant is at liberty to avail the remedy/remedies available to her in respect to the said cancellation report.

It is clarified that none of the observations made hereinabove shall be construed to be a reflection on the merits of the case. The same are

solely confined for the purpose of decision of the present petitions.

29.01.2018
s.khan

[LISA GILL]
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned :
Whether reportable :

Yes/No.
Yes/No.