

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CrI. Misc. No. M-20759 of 2018

DATE OF DECISION:30.11.2018

Kuldeep Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. AS Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Samina Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Arun Singla, Advocate
for the complainant.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

The present petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. has been filed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 132 dated 9.10.2017 registered under Sections 452,324,323,506,427,148,149 IPC and Section 307 IPC (added lateron) at Police Station Amargarh, District Sangrur.

At the very outset, it has been brought to the notice of this Court by learned counsel for the complainant that earlier petition was dismissed and second petition without mentioning the changed circumstances is not maintainable.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that earlier petition was dismissed on the ground of misrepresentation and by mentioning wrong facts by the complainant side.

However, learned counsel for the petitioner prays that he may be permitted to withdraw this petition with liberty to avail the appropriate remedy.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the said liberty.

November 30, 2018

pooja

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)

JUDGE

Yes

No