

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. No.M-19827-2017
Date of Decision: May 04, 2018.**

HARPREET SINGH

..... PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR.

..... RESPONDENTS

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Mr. Gurinder Singh Hayer, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. D.S. Sukarchakia, DAG, Punjab.

Complainant in person.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

LISA GILL, J.

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in FIR No.52 dated 14.04.2017, under Sections 498-A and 406 IPC, registered at Police Station City Muktsar, Tehsil and District Sri Muktsar Sahib.

It is submitted that the matter has been amicably settled between the parties before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court. The petitioner and his wife-respondent No. 2 have filed a petition under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955. Part of the settled amount has been received by respondent No. 2. The petitioner undertakes to abide by the terms and conditions of the settlement. It is thus, prayed that the present petition be allowed.

Respondent No. 2, duly identified by HC Kuljit Singh, present in

Court. She affirms and verifies the factum of settlement between the parties and states that she has no objection in case this petition is allowed, subject to the petitioner strictly adhering to the terms and conditions of the settlement.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from HC Kuljit Singh, verifies that the petitioner has joined investigation and is not involved in any other criminal case.

There are no allegations on behalf of the State that the petitioner is likely to abscond or that he is likely to dissuade the witnesses from deposing true facts before the Court, if released on bail.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances as above but without commenting upon or expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this petition is allowed. Consequently, order dated 30.05.2017 is made absolute.

It is directed that a sum of ₹20,000/- deposited in terms of order dated 30.05.2017 be released to respondent No. 2 on her furnishing adequate proof of identity.

It is clarified that none of the observations made hereinabove shall be construed to be a reflection on the merits of the case. The same are solely confined for the purpose of decision of the present petition.

May 04, 2018
jyoti-3

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No