

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 20704 of 2018
Date of decision: 15.05.2018.

Sarabjeet Singh and another Petitioners.

Versus

State of Punjab and others Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. D.S. Nigha, Advocate, for the petitioners.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners are seeking a direction to the official respondents to protect their life and liberty as they apprehend danger from respondents No.4 and 5.

It is stated in the petition that petitioners have performed their marriage, which is against the will of private respondents and they have apprehension that the private respondents might cause physical harm to them.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has drawn my attention to the representation dated 11.05.2018 (Annexure P-5) sent by the petitioners to respondent No. 2-Senior Superintendent of Police (Urban), District Amritsar.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that inadvertently Senior Superintendent of Police (Urban), District Amritsar has been impleaded as respondent No.2 instead of Commissioner of Police, Amritsar.

At the request of learned counsel for the petitioners, respondent No.2 in the petition shall be read as Commissioner of Police, Amritsar.

Registry is directed to carry out necessary correction.

Issue notice to respondents No. 1 to 3 only.

At the asking of the Court Mr. Ramandeep Sandhu, Senior DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3.

Keeping in view the mandate of Supreme Court in the case of ***“Lata Singh V. State of U.P. and another”, JT 2006 (6) SC 173***, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2 - Commissioner of Police, Amritsar, to look into the matter and take appropriate action in accordance with law to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents.

It is clarified that this order shall not be treated as a stamp of this Court about the marriage of the parties and no opinion with regard to the validity of the marriage has been expressed in this order.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

15.05.2018
Ramesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No