

**201 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-57171-M-2006 (O&M)

Date of decision-21.11.2018

Sital Singh

....Petitioner

Vs.

Satinder Kaur and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Lovekirat S.Chahal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.Dinesh Trehan, Advocate for respondent No.1.

Mr. Abhaypal Singh Gill, AAG, Punjab.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

Sital Singh has filed this petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (in short 'Cr.P.C') for quashing of criminal complaint No.147 dated 26.02.2004 (Annexure P-4) under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B read with Section 34 of Indian Penal Code (in short 'IPC') and the summoning order dated 25.07.2005 (Annexure P-5) whereby he was summoned only for the offence punishable under Section 406 of IPC.

Pursuant to the notice, the reply has been filed by the complainant-Satinder Kaur wherein it is highlighted that all four witnesses, have been examined and the cross-examination of PW-4, namely, Surjit Singh remains to be completed by the counsel for the accused.

Since pursuant to the summoning order, accused persons have participated in the proceedings before the trial Court effectively and had not

at all chosen to adopt the remedy of revision to challenge the summoning order.

On 17.09.2018, counsel for the petitioner had apprised that the petitioner is unable to comply with the directions given by this Court on 18.08.2018 and is unable to bring the amount paid to him by the bank. Considering the conduct of the petitioner, this Court had vacated the stay on proceedings and directed the trial Court to proceed with the matter.

In view of the above and considering the stage of the complaint case, no ground for interference under Section 482 Cr.P.C is made out.

Consequently, petition stands dismissed.

21.11.2018

vanita

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No