

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

126

**CRM-M-20663-2018
DATE OF DECISION:15.05.2018**

SUDHIR KUMAR SURI

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. M.K. Dogra, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.168 dated 17.04.2018 under Section 3(1) of the Scheduled Caste and Scheduled Tribe (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 (hereinafter referred to as 'the SC & ST Act') and Section 67 of the Information Technology Act, 2000, registered at Police Station Civil Lines, Amritsar (Annexure P-1).

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that as per the allegations in the FIR, no offence under the afore-noted sections of the SC & ST Act is made out.

Heard.

In the FIR, it is stated that an audio recording was received by the complainant wherein the petitioner is stated to be abusing Dalit community and is instigating communal riots.

It is also apparent from the perusal of the order of Additional Sessions Judge, denying the petitioner anticipatory bail, that six FIRs have already been registered against him for

making derogatory speeches against different communities and he has taken undue benefit of the orders of the Courts as he had been granted bail earlier.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, however, contends that only two other cases of similar nature are pending against the petitioner.

In view of the fact that the petitioner is also involved in several other cases of similar nature, I do not consider it to be a fit case to grant him the benefit of anticipatory bail. Consequently, the petition stands dismissed.

15.05.2018

SwarnjitS

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No