

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No.M- 20539 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : 26.10.2018**

Sukhwinder Kaur

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Narinder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Jaspreet Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. PKS Phoolka, Advocate
for the complainant.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.

This is the second petition filed by the petitioner seeking regular bail in FIR No. 91 dated 31.08.2017, registered under Sections 304-B/34 IPC, Police Station Kot Fatta, District Bathinda.

Counsel for the petitioner contends that the first petition was dismissed earlier and they have placed on record the zimni orders which would show that on the 1st four dates there was no appearance and service was effected on the complainant but he failed to appear. The counsel further submits that there were no allegations of dowry demand and there is a reference to a Panchayati compromise and the trial is taking time. The counsel submits that the marriage was over four years old and there were two children and the petitioner is in custody since 2017.

The zimni orders show that no witness was present on the first^t four dates and thereafter the complainant though served failed to appear. The Court had adjourned the case also as Court time was over on one of the dates. The trial is taking time. Considering the period of long custody and as the trial will take more time, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on execution of adequate personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court.

26.10.2018
sunil

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No