

212 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

HEMLATA
2018.09.14 10:29
Attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

CRM-M-20503-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision:13.09.2018

Parminder Kaur and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present: Mr. B.S.Bhalla, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. K.S.Aulakh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vikrant Duggal, Advocate and
Mr. A.K.Handa, Advocate
for the complainant.

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the State submits that there are several cases of the same nature against the petitioners. The allegations involved in the present case are having serious proportions of crime. The truth of the matter cannot be unearthed by the police, except through the custodial interrogation.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has not been able to deny the above submission of the learned State counsel that there are several other cases of similar nature against the petitioners, which are under investigation.

In view of the above, this Court does not find any ground to interfere and to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. In the facts and circumstances of the case, it is not appropriate to preclude the police from carrying out the investigation in its right earnest.

Dismissed.

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

13.09.2018
Hemlata

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No