

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-19566-2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 17.01.2018

Surinder Singh

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:-HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Saleem Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajbirender Chahal, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Shakti Mehta, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.

This petition had been filed in April 2017 for quashing of the FIR on the basis of the compromise. The parties were directed to get the statements recorded before the trial Court. The counsel appearing for respondent No.2 states that there is no compromise nor the complainant wants to compromise the matter as another episode of beating has taken place.

Counsel for the petitioner states that he may be given one more opportunity.

The case is stated to be fixed for defence evidence. The complainant's side have clarified that there is no compromise. The parties have not appeared before the trial Court for getting their statements recorded. It appears that there is no compromise.

Petition is dismissed.

January 17, 2018
ps-l

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

Whether Reasoned/Speaking
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No