

Crl. Misc. No. M-20420 of 2018

(1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-20420 of 2018

DATE OF DECISION:26.07.2018

Sukhmander Singh @ Mander

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. PS Brar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Mehta, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Guninder Singh Brar, Advocate
for the complainant.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State contends that the petitioner has not joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that as the petitioner has been convicted in one case under NDPS Act and has been sentenced to undergo RI for ten years, he could not join the investigation. Learned counsel further contends that in case the petitioner is required by the investigating agency, he can be asked to join investigation, in custody.

Learned counsel for the complainant has opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner on the ground that allegations under Section 307 IPC are there against the petitioner and he is also convict in one

case of NDPS Act and sentenced to undergo RI for ten years.

Keeping in view the submissions made by learned counsel for the respondent-State as well as complainant, no ground is made out to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner and the petition being devoid of any merit is hereby dismissed.

July 26, 2018
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No