259.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-20383-2018
Date of decision:10.12.2018.

MANOJ VERMA ... Petitioner

Versus

UT OF CHANDIGARH AND ORS. .... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Ms. Shalini Verma, Advocate, for
Mr. Ranjan Lakhanpal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Ashima Mor, APP, UT, Chandigarh.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.*(Oral)*

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for issuance of direction to respondents not to harass the petitioner or call him to the Police Station without issuing him prior notice under Section 160 Cr.P.C.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of UT, Chandigarh states that the petitioner is not being harassed as alleged. However, in case the presence of the petitioner is required, he shall be served with a notice under Section 160 Cr.P.C.

In view of aforesaid statement of learned counsel for UT, Chandigarh, present stands disposed of with a direction that in case the presence of the petitioner is required, he be served with a notice under Section 160 Cr.P.C.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

10.12.2018
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No.
Whether Reportable: Yes/No.

SANJEEV KUMAR
2018.12.11 18:12
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document