

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-20278-2018

Date of Decision: 16.08.2018

Shammi Kumar

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present : Mr. J.K. Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Nikhil K. Chopra, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

P.B. BAJANTHRI J. (Oral)

In the instant petition, petitioner has sought for anticipatory bail under the provision of Section 438 Cr.P.C in case FIR No.49 dated 26.04.2018 registered under Section 61(1) of Punjab Excise Act, 1914 at Police Station City Budhlada, District Mansa.

2. Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Gurmail Singh submitted that even though petitioner is involved in one another case but that case has been cancelled. Now his presence is not required for the purpose of custodial interrogation.

3. In view of these facts and circumstances, petitioner is directed to join the investigation as and when called by the Investigating Officer. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on pre-arrest anticipatory bail by the Investigating Officer on his furnishing bail bonds/sureties to his satisfaction subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

4. Petition is allowed accordingly.

16.08.2018
rajeev

(P.B. Bajanthri)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No