

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-20249-2016
Decided on: 16.08.2018**

Rajni and others

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present: Mr. Abhimanyu Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. C.L. Pawar, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

H.S. MADAAN, J (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that petitioners though related to husband of complainant, but are residing at different places in Yamuna Nagar and they face a lot of inconvenience in going to Gurdaspur at a distance of about 340 Kms to attend the dates of hearing and he would be satisfied, if their personal appearance is exempted and they are allowed to take all the pleas before the trial Court.

Learned counsel appearing for respondent No.2 does not oppose the request.

Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed as not pressed.

The trial Court is directed to exempt the personal appearance of the petitioners on their moving application(s) in that regard on the condition that they would be represented by their counsel, their identity shall not be disputed and

they would appear in the Court as and when so directed by the trial Court on finding their personal appearance in the Court necessary. Furthermore, the petitioners are at liberty to take all the pleas taken in this petition, before the trial Court in accordance with law.

(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE

16.08.2018
Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No