

111

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.2018 of 2018
Date of Decision: 18.01.2018

Lalit Kumar

....Petitioner

Vs

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. Akshay Sandhir, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

The grievance of the petitioner is that the persons namely Ravish Sehgal, Chand Sehgal and Nisha Sehgal were having grudge against the petitioner.

They in collusion with respondents No.4 and 5 snatched away the entire products carried by Rajiv son of Rohtash for delivery to the customer at Amritsar and also snatched an amount of Rs.7000/-. He was also detained in the Police Chowki on 12.12.2017.

Petitioner also alleged that he has also approached respondent No.3 in respect of aforesaid act of the persons but no action has been taken till date.

Petitioner has also moved a complaint to respondent

No.2 with a copy to respondent No.3 on 18.12.2017 vide diary No.5909-COP but the same has also not yielded any result so far.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Sandeep Kumar, D.A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

In view of nature of order which this Court proposes to pass, there is no necessity of filing any reply as no order prejudicial to the interest of either of the party is being passed.

This petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to look into the grievance of the petitioner. If any cognizable offence is found to have been committed by the persons named in the complaint, then respondent No.2 would be at liberty to direct respondent No.3 to act in view of parameters as laid down in **Lalita Kumari vs. Govt. of U.P. (2014) 2 SCC (1).**

Disposed of.

18.01.2018

jyoti Y.

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No