

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.201

**CRM-M-19229-2017
Date of decision : 17.01.2018**

Ashok Kumar

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Vikas Bali, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Amandeep Singh Gill, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Navkiran Singh, Advocate, for the complainant.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The parties are *ad idem* that the petitioner has joined investigation, pursuant to order dated 30.10.2017. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI-Avtar Singh further submits that he is no longer required for any further investigation.

2. Learned counsel for the complainant submits that after the petitioner was granted interim bail vide order dated 19.07.2017, he has been named as an accused in another FIR, i.e. FIR No.256 dated 24.10.2017, registered at Police Station City Kapurthala, under Sections 324, 323 and 34 IPC and therefore, he does not deserve the concession of anticipatory bail. In response to this, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that on the date of the incident, i.e. 23.10.2017, the petitioner was appearing before the Sessions Court at Jalandhar and the FIR is obviously based upon the incorrect facts. It is further submitted that he had appeared before the police

in the said FIR and after his formal arrest, he has been released on bail, as the offences were bailable.

3. Without commenting upon the aforementioned submissions and keeping in view the fact that the petitioner has joined investigation in the present FIR as well as in the later FIR, the order dated 30.10.2017, whereby interim bail was granted to the petitioner, is made absolute.

The petition stands disposed of.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

January 17, 2018
Ramandeep Singh

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes/ No