

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

262

**CRM-M-20140-2018 (O&M)
Decided on :02.08.2018**

Karandeep Singh @ Karan and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B.BAJANTHRI

Present : Mr. P.K.S.Phoolka,, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Sukhbeer Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Davinder Kumar, Advocate for respondent No.2.

P.B.BAJANTHRI, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have sought for quashing of FIR No.176 dated 11.11.2015 (Annexure P-1) under Section 406/34 IPC registered at Police Station Civil Lines Bathinda, District Bathinda, on the basis of compromise dated 26.06.2017 (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that FIR is an outcome of snatching of mobile phone and now aforesaid issue has been resolved.

This Court by the order dated 28.05.2018 had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court for recording their statements with regard to veracity of the compromise. The Chief Judicial Magistrate, Bathinda has sent his report dated 12.07.2018 wherein it is stated that pursuant to the order of this Court, statements of the parties have been recorded and compromise had been effected without any fear or undue

influence.

In view of the law laid down in “*Narinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab*” 2014 (6) SCC 466, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the criminal proceedings. Therefore, petition is allowed and FIR No.176 dated 11.11.2015 (Annexure P-1) under Section 406/34 IPC registered at Police Station Civil Lines Bathinda, District Bathinda, and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed against the petitioners subject to payment of litigation cost of Rs.3000/- each of them to State of Punjab. Cost shall be remitted in the Treasury, State of Haryana within a period of 2 months from today.

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

02.08.2018

pooja saini

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No