

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-20095-2018

Date of Decision:11.05.2018

Gurdeep Singh and others

... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present : Mr. Peeush Gagneja, Advocate
for the petitioners.

P.B. BAJANTHRI J. (Oral)

In the instant petition, petitioner has sought for direction to respondents No.2 to 5 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and to take appropriate action against respondent No.7.

2. Perusal of the record, it is evident that on the petitioners' representation dated 8.12.2017, concerned officials have acted upon. Report has been drawn on 2.3.2018 (Annexure P-3). Extract of conclusion report reads as under:

“10. Conclusion Report:

From the enquiry of complaint and statements, it is found, that the matter pertains to matrimonial dispute between the husband and wife, and the applicant has refused to get her statement recorded, but the husband of applicant wants to restitute his conjugal rights with the applicant, who has also filed a case in the Hon'ble Court, therefore, now on this application, no action is required to be taken by the police.”

3. In view of these facts and circumstances, petitioner has remedy in respect of matrimonial dispute. Moreover, no material has been placed on record so as to say that there is a threat from respondent No.7 to the petitioners except stating in the representation dated 8.12.2017. In view of

these facts and circumstances, petition is not maintainable.

4. Accordingly, petition stands dismissed.

11.05.2018

rajeev

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No

(P.B. Bajanthri)
Judge