

204/3.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-20092-2018

Date of decision:25.07.2018.

SHINDA SINGH

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr. Deepak Aggarwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tanvir Joshi, AAG, Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.188 dated 14.09.2017 under Section 22 of NDPS Act, registered at Police Station Maur, District Bathinda.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner is in custody since 14.09.2017 and the alleged recovery is 25 bottles of ONEREX. There is no other case against. The trial in the case will take long time. He relies upon orders passed by this Court in CRM-M-33434-2016 titled as Amarpal Singh alias Pal Versus State of Punjab, decided on 26.09.2016 and CRM-M-6188-2016 titled as Pardeep Kumar Versus State of Punjab, decided on 03.08.2016.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Jagdev Singh, does not dispute the custody and recovery. However, he states that keeping in view the recovery made from the petitioner, he is not entitled for grant of

regular bail.

Taking into consideration the orders passed by this Court in CRM-M-33434-2016 (supra) and CRM-M-6188-2016 (supra) and noticing the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 14.09.2017 and the trial in the case will take long time, he is admitted on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

It is made clear that in case the petitioner is found indulged in any other case under the NDPS Act, the prosecution shall be at liberty to seek cancellation of his bail.

Petition stands allowed.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

25.07.2018
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No