

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH***

Crl. Misc. No. M-19143 of 2017

Date of Decision: 24.04.2018

Jai Kavar

.....Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondent

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present:- Mr. Parveen Kumar Rohilla, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Rajinder Singh Malik, Advocate,
for respondent No.6.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks issuance of directions to respondents No. 2 and 3 to get FIR No. 423 dated 21.10.2016 registered at Police Station Sadar Sonapat under Section 447 IPC investigated by an official of the rank of Superintendent of Police and also to protect the life and liberty of himself and his family members during the pendency of this petition.

Pursuant to notice of motion issued by this Court, a reply has been filed on behalf of the respondents in which it is stated that the allegations made in the aforementioned FIR have been found to be false and a cancellation report is being presented. There is an ongoing civil dispute between the parties and the Civil Court is seized of the matter.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the police is biased in favour of the private respondents and is not taking appropriate action. The investigation conducted is not fair.

There is no material on record to substantiate the allegations made by the petitioner. No further action is called for in view of the reply filed by the respondents and the petition is accordingly disposed of. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to file a criminal complaint, if so advised.

April 24, 2018
poonam

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes

Whether referred to Reporters : No