

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-20051-2018

Date of decision : 18.05.2018

Bagga

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.J.S.Lalli, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.V.G.Jauhar, Sr.DAG, Punjab.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the complainant is a drug addict and has simply got the petitioner, who is his brother, implicated on account of rivalry between them, and further, that the *datar* blow on the head of the complainant is attributed to one Govinda who is already in custody.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Angrej Singh, submits that there is no evidence of the complainant being a drug addict but on further query has also submitted that the person to whom the *datar* blow is attributed, i.e. Govinda, is in custody and the alleged weapon of offence has been recovered from him.

That being so, without making any comment whatsoever on the actual culpability or otherwise of the petitioner, which would be gone into by the investigating agency and the competent Court at the appropriate time on the basis of evidence gathered / led, the petitioner upon joining investigation, if he is sought to be arrested, shall be admitted to bail, on his

furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the arresting officer/Illaqa Magistrate.

Disposed of .

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

May 18, 2018.
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No