

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

CRM-M-20036 of 2018

Date of decision: July 27, 2018

Manpreet Singh @ Manna Singh and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present: Mr.Amit Kumar Walia, Advocate for the petitioners.
Ms. Seena Mand, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.
Mr. Harpal Preet Singh Chopra, Advocate for
respondent No.2.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No.59 dated 29.05.2015, registered under Section 498A of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Sections 406 and 120B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 added later on, at Police Station Longowal, District Sangrur, Punjab (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise entered into between the parties.

Petitioner No.1 was married to respondent No.2, however, due to temperamental differences between the husband and wife, matrimonial dispute arose and the aforesaid FIR has been registered against petitioner No.1 and his parents, on the statement of respondent No.2/complainant under the said sections. However, now with the intervention of respectable persons, the dispute has been amicably settled between the

parties and they have entered into a compromise.

Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In pursuance of the direction, a report has been received from the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Sangrur stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from any one and the same appears to be genuine one.

Ms. Seena Mand, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, and learned counsel for respondent No.2 admit to the factum of compromise and submit that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, they would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR, in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the rival parties and gone through the record.

In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, a compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh & others Vs. State of Punjab & another, (2014) 6 SCC 466**, this petition is allowed and FIR No.59 dated 29.05.2015, registered under Section 498A of the Indian Penal Code 1860 and Sections 406 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 added later on, at Police Station Longowal, District Sangrur, Punjab (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed qua

the petitioners.

The petition stands disposed of.

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

July 27, 2018
jt

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No