

248 .

SUNIL
2018.09.24 10:35
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-20010-2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 20.09.2018**

VEENA RANI

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Jagmohan Ghumman, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Saurabh Kaushik, Advocate
for respondent nos.4 to 11.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.(oral)

No-one appears for the petitioner. Last opportunity had been granted. Earlier it had been noted that there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner and it was ordered that in case they do not appear, the petition would be dismissed.

Reply has been filed by the State, according to which they found the complaint false and there is a civil dispute relating to property.

No direction are required to be given. The petition is dismissed.

**(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE**

September 20, 2018
Sunil

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No