

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-20001 of 2016

Date of Decision: 09.03.2018

Dilbagh Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. H.S. Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. B.S. Randhawa, Advocate
for respondent No.10.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.(Oral)

As per office report, respondent No.5 and 14 were served through affixation and respondents No. 9, 10,11 are not residing at the given address. So far as, respondents No. 13 and 15 are concerned notice sent through *dasti* as well as ordinary process have not been received back served or otherwise.

On 01.02.2018, it was made clear that in case the petitioner fails to serve respondents No. 5,9, 10, 13, 14 and 15 the petition shall be dismissed for non-prosecution.

Today, neither the petitioner is present; nor service of respondents No. 9, 10, 11, 13 and 15 is complete.

In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

**[MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU]
JUDGE**

March 09, 2018

rashmi

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable?

yes/no
yes/no