

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. No. M-19996-2018
Date of Decision: 24.07.2018**

Satpal @ Satya Pal Saini

.. Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Deepak Saini, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. B.S. Virk, DAG, Haryana.

...

Inderjit Singh, J.

Petitioner-Satpal @ Satya Pal Saini has filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.1039 dated 04.11.2017, registered at Police Station Chandni Bagh, Panipat, under Sections 406/420/467/468/471/120-B, 34 and 506 of Indian Penal Code.

Notice of motion was issued in this case.

Learned State counsel has appeared on behalf of the respondent-State and contested this petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

As per the record, Udit Mittal filed the complaint against Dheeraj Kumar Saini and Satpal @ Satya Pal Saini under Section 156 (3) Cr.P.C. and the Court sent it to the police station for registration of FIR etc.

Perusal of the record shows that the present petitioner is neither the beneficiary nor the party to the agreement. The present petitioner is said to have signed the agreement as a witness.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argued that they have already

challenged the agreement that it is a forged document.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in pursuance of the interim order dated 11.05.2018, passed by this Court, the petitioner had gone to the police station to join the investigation, but the Investigating Officer was not available and he was again directed to join the investigation on 23.07.2018. This fact is admitted by the learned State counsel that the petitioner has gone to the police station on 23.07.2018.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case and the case being based on documentary evidence; without discussing the facts of the case in minute details and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I find merit in this petition and the same is allowed. The order dated 11.05.2018, granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

24.07.2018
Jasmine Kaur

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No