

CRM-M-19981-2018

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-19981-2018

Date of Decision: 13.07.2018

Gagandeep Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Ms. Jaspreet Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Nakul Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Sharda, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

None for respondents No.2 and 3.

INDERJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

None has appeared on behalf of respondents No.2 and 3 despite service.

Petitioner-Gagandeep Singh has filed this petition under Section 439 (2) Cr.P.C. for cancellation of bail granted to respondents No.2 and 3 vide order dated 31.03.2018 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Ludhiana, in FIR No.17 dated 09.01.2018, registered at Police Station Dakha, District Ludhiana, under Sections 324, 323, 452, 148 and 149 of IPC.

Notice of motion has been issued. Learned State counsel

appeared on behalf of respondent No.1-State of Punjab.

CRM-M-19981-2018

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

From the record, I find that vide order dated 31.03.2018 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Ludhiana, respondents No.2 and 3 namely Jaswinder Kaur and Beant Kaur have been granted anticipatory bail in FIR No.17 dated 09.01.2018, registered at Police Station Dakha, District Ludhiana, under Sections 324, 323, 452, 148 and 149 of IPC. Perusal of FIR shows that it is a matrimonial dispute and only beatings have been alleged to respondents No.2 and 3.

Keeping in view the above facts, I do not find any ground to cancel the anticipatory bail granted to respondents No.2 and 3 by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Ludhiana.

Therefore, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed.

Nothing stated above will constitute my opinion on the merits of the case.

13.07.2018

parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
	Whether reportable	:	No